Application No. 10/642,634 Amdt. Dated May 19, 2005 Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2005

Remarks and Arguments

Reconsideration is hereby requested. Applicant has small entity status.

The Examiner objects to Claims 1-12 because the language of "the concrete slap into which....said greater dimension xz plane tabs of said series...are wholly embedded...." has no antecedent basis in the specification.

The specification has been amended to include "wholly embedded" to correspond to Fig. 7. E xaminer cites drawing figures 6-10 as showing the xz tabs wholly embedded in the concrete slab.

The Examiner has indicated allowabiliy, if the specification were amended to include the above information. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection of Claims 1-12.

3-22.05

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted, Lakdas Nanayakkara

Melvin K. Silverman

Registration No. 26,234